

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/646,036	Applicant(s) SHIMOZONO ET AL	
	Examiner Greg Bengzon	Art Unit 2144	

All Participants:

(1) Greg Bengzon.

(2) Chun-Pok Leung, Reg. No. 41405.

Date of Interview: 30 May 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



WILLIAM C. VAUGHN, JR.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon receiving authorization from SPE William Vaughn, Examiner Bengzon discussed possibility of incorporating Claims 17 into Claim 1, with some language from Claim 19. Also discussed incorporating Claim 22 into Claim 21. The Attorney agreed to proposed Claim amendments and to an Examiner's Amendment in order to process allowance of said Claims..